

5.5 Completeness for First-order Logic

Definition 5.14 $\{(\exists a)\beta \supset \beta(b/a) \mid \text{for all } a, b \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{NC}^1}\}$ is the **E-form** on β .

Definition 5.15 Γ is **fully E-formed** if for every $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{NC}^1}$, $(\exists a)\beta \supset \beta(b/a) \in \Gamma$ for some b not free in β .

Definition 5.16 Γ is **fully witnessed** if whenever $(\exists a)\beta \in \Gamma$ then $\beta(b/a) \in \Gamma$ for some b not free in β (i.e., such that either b does not occur in β , or if it does, its only occurrences are bound). We can think of b as a **witness** to the truth of $(\exists a)\beta$.

5.5.1 The Way Up

The work of this section is purely syntactic—i.e., proof theory. The idea is to take any simply consistent set Γ , and extend it to a maximally consistent fully witnessed set Δ . (Δ is in a sense a purely syntactic *Ersatz* (substitute) for a model.)

Exercise 5.11 Check that Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 can be extended to NC^1 .

Lemma 5.6 Every fully E-formed set maximally consistent in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NC}^1}$ is fully witnessed.

Exercise 5.12 Prove Lemma 5.6.

Lemma 5.7 Every set Γ simply consistent in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NC}^1}$ can be extended to a fully E-formed one.

Proof: Let $\{\Pi_n \mid n \in \omega\}$ be an enumeration of the E-forms.

Define $\Gamma^0 = \Gamma$.

$\Gamma^{n+1} = \Gamma^n \cup \{\alpha_n\}$ for some $\alpha_n \in \Pi_n$ such that, where $\alpha_n = (\exists a)\beta \supset \beta(b/a)$, b is not free in any wff in Γ^n , nor in β . (It may be necessary in this construction to augment the language with at most countably many new variables.)

Let $\Gamma^\infty = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Gamma^n$. Then clearly Γ^∞ is fully E-formed.

We must show Γ^∞ is simply consistent in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NC}^1}$.

Suppose it is not, i.e., $\Gamma^\infty \vdash \perp$. Then, since derivations are finite, for some n , $\Gamma^n \not\vdash \perp$ and $\Gamma^{n+1} \vdash \perp$, i.e., $\Gamma^n \cup \{\alpha_n\} \vdash \perp$.

But $\vdash_{\text{NC}} (\exists b)((\exists a)\beta \supset \beta(b/a))$ since b is not free in $(\exists a)\beta$. (See Exercise 5.13.)

So by $\exists E$, $\Gamma^n \vdash \perp$. But we supposed it was simply consistent.

So Γ^∞ must be simply consistent, as required. Q.E.D.

Exercise 5.13 1. Show that $\vdash_{\text{NC}} (\exists b)((\exists a)\beta \supset \beta(b/a))$ provided b is not free in $(\exists a)\beta$. (Hint: first show, e.g., $\vdash_{\text{NC}} (\exists y)((\exists x)Fx \supset Fy)$, and then generalize the proof using metavariables a , b and β .)

2. Explain and justify the restriction, ‘provided b is not free in $(\exists a)\beta$ ’.

Lemma 5.8 *Every simply consistent set can be extended to a maximally consistent fully witnessed set.*

Proof: Let Γ be simply consistent. Extend Γ to Γ^∞ , fully E-formed by Lemma 5.7. Extend Γ^∞ to Δ , maximally consistent by Exercise 5.11. Clearly the procedure retains E-formedness. Then Δ is fully witnessed, by Lemma 5.6. Q.E.D.

5.5.2 The Way Down

Now we start the semantics. We must find a model for Δ —which will be a model for Γ , since $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$.

Lemma 5.9 *Every maximally consistent fully witnessed set Δ has a characteristic model.*

Proof: We show Δ has a model by defining its **canonical** model. Let v be an interpretation such that:

$$\begin{aligned} D_v &= \{a \mid a \text{ is a variable in some wff of } \Delta\}. \\ v(a) &= a, \text{ for all variables } a. \\ v(\phi^n) &= \{\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \mid \phi a_1 \dots a_n \in \Delta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then v is a characteristic model for Δ . We show this by induction on the degree of α .

Base $\deg(\alpha) = 0$, so $\alpha = \phi^n a_1 \dots a_n$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \alpha \text{ is true under } v &\text{ iff } v(\alpha) = 1 \\ &\text{ iff } \langle v(a_1), \dots, v(a_n) \rangle \in v(\phi) \\ &\text{ iff } \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in \{\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \mid \phi a_1 \dots a_n \in \Delta\} \\ &\text{ iff } \phi a_1 \dots a_n \in \Delta \text{ iff } \alpha \in \Delta \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

Induction step 1. $\alpha = \perp$. Then $v(\alpha) \neq 1$ and $\perp \notin \Delta$ (since Δ is simply consistent)

so vacuously $v(\alpha) = 1$ iff $\alpha \in \Delta$.

2. $\alpha = \beta \wedge \gamma$. The I.H. says that β is true under v iff $\beta \in \Delta$ and that γ is true under v iff $\gamma \in \Delta$.

So α is true under v iff $v(\alpha) = 1$ iff $v(\beta) = v(\gamma) = 1$ iff $\beta \in \Delta$ and $\gamma \in \Delta$ iff $\beta \wedge \gamma \in \Delta$ by Lemma 5.1(3), i.e., $\alpha \in \Delta$.

3. $\alpha = \beta \vee \gamma$. See Exercise 5.14.

4. $\alpha = \beta \supset \gamma$. See Exercise 5.14.

5. $\alpha = (\forall a)\beta$. To show $v(\alpha) = 1$ iff $\alpha \in \Delta$.

First, suppose $\alpha \notin \Delta$. Then $\neg\alpha \in \Delta$ (for Δ is maximally consistent)

so $\Delta \vdash \neg(\forall a)\beta$, so $\Delta \vdash (\exists a)\neg\beta$, whence $(\exists a)\neg\beta \in \Delta$ by Lemma 5.1(1)

so $\neg\beta(b/a) \in \Delta$ for some b not free in β , since Δ is fully witnessed, so $\beta(b/a) \notin \Delta$.

I.H. : $v(\beta(b/a)) = 1$ iff $\beta(b/a) \in \Delta$. So $v(\beta(b/a)) = 0$.

Consider $v' \sim_a v$ with $v'(a) = b = v(b)$.

Then by Lemma 5.5, $v'(\beta) = 0$, i.e., β is not true under v' . Thus $(\forall a)\beta$ is not true under v .

Contraposing, if α is true under v then $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Conversely, suppose $\alpha \in \Delta$. Consider any $v' \sim_a v$, $v'(a) = b$, say.

(a) if b is free for a in β , then, since $\Delta \vdash \alpha$, $\Delta \vdash \beta(b/a)$ by $\forall E$. So $\beta(b/a) \in \Delta$.

I.H. $v(\beta(b/a)) = 1$ iff $\beta(b/a) \in \Delta$. So $\beta(b/a)$ is true under v . But $v' \sim_a v$ and $v'(a) = b$. So by Lemma 5.5, β is true under v' . So by the truth definition, $\alpha = (\forall a)\beta$ is true under v .

(b) However, if b is not free for a in β , then we form a bound alphabetic variant β' of β by substituting c , not occurring in β , for every bound occurrence of b in β . Then by Exercise 5.10, for all u , β' is true under u iff β is true under u . Moreover $\vdash_{\text{NC}} \beta \equiv \beta'$. (See Exercise 5.15) So, since $(\forall a)\beta \in \Delta$, $(\forall a)\beta' \in \Delta$ by deductive closure. Hence, as in (a), $(\forall a)\beta'$ is true under v , and so $(\forall a)\beta$ is true under v .

6. $\alpha = (\exists a)\beta$. See Exercise 5.14. Q.E.D.

Exercise 5.14 Prove parts 3, 4 and 6 in Lemma 5.9.

Exercise 5.15 1. Give a formal definition of '(bound) alphabetic variant'.

2. Let α' be a bound alphabetic variant of α . Show that $\vdash_{\text{NC}} \alpha \equiv \alpha'$. (Hint: use induction.)

Lemma 5.10 (The Existence Lemma for NC^1) Every simply consistent set has a model.

Proof: By Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9. Q.E.D.

5.5.3 Putting it All Together

Theorem 5.3 (Henkin Completeness theorem for NC^1) If $\Gamma \models \alpha$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NC}} \alpha$.

Proof: Suppose $\Gamma \models \alpha$. Then there is no model of $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\alpha\}$. So by Lemma 5.10, $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\alpha\}$ is not simply consistent (in NC). Hence by Exercise 5.5, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NC}} \alpha$. Q.E.D.

Further Reading

J. Zalabardo, *Introduction to the Theory of Logic*, ch. 5.

B. Mates, *Elementary Logic*, 136-42.

R. Stoll, *Set Theory and Logic* § 9.6.

W.V. Quine, *Mathematical Logic* §21 'Alphabetic Variance', pp. 109-15.

L. Henkin, 'The Completeness of the First-order Functional Calculus', *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 14, 1949, 159-66.

5.6 Soundness for Predicate Logic

Theorem 5.4 *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NC}} \alpha$ then $\Gamma \models \alpha$.*

Proof: By induction on length of proof, as before. There are four more cases to consider, beyond those in § 5.2:

$\exists\text{I}$: $\langle \Gamma, (\exists a)\alpha \rangle$ is an i.c. of $\langle \Gamma, \alpha(b/a) \rangle$.

So the proof of $\langle \Gamma, \alpha(b/a) \rangle$ is shorter, whence by I.H., $\Gamma \models \alpha(b/a)$.

To show $\Gamma \models (\exists a)\alpha$. Let v model Γ .

Then $\alpha(b/a)$ is true under v .

Consider $v' \sim_a v$ with $v'(a) = v(b)$.

Then by Lemma 5.5, α is true under v' , i.e. $v'(\alpha) = 1$ for some $v' \sim_a v$.

Hence by the truth definition, $v((\exists a)\alpha) = 1$.

Thus $\Gamma \models (\exists a)\alpha$ as required.

$\forall\text{E}$: see Exercise 5.16.

$\forall\text{I}$: $\langle \Gamma, (\forall a)\alpha \rangle$ is an i.c. of $\langle \Gamma, \alpha(b/a) \rangle$ where b is free in no member of Γ , nor in $(\forall a)\alpha$.

Clearly the derivation of $\langle \Gamma, \alpha(b/a) \rangle$ is shorter, so by I.H., $\Gamma \models \alpha(b/a)$.

We must show $\Gamma \models (\forall a)\alpha$. Let v be a model of Γ . Take $v' \sim_a v$. Suppose $v'(a) = e$. Then consider $v'' \sim_b v$, with $v''(b) = e$. Since b is free in no member of Γ , v'' is a model of Γ , by Exercise 5.10. So by I.H., $\alpha(b/a)$ is true under v'' . Let $v''' \sim_b v'$ and $v''' \sim_a v''$. Then $v'''(a) = e$, and $v'''(b) = e$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v & \sim_a & v' \\ & & \sim_b \\ & \sim_b & \\ v'' & \sim_a & v''' \end{array}$$

Then, since $\alpha(b/a)$ is true under v'' , and since a is not free in $\alpha(b/a)$, $\alpha(b/a)$ is true under v''' , by Exercise 5.10.

We now apply Lemma 5.5 to v''' and v' , given that $v' \sim_b v'''$ and $v'''(b) = v'(a)$, to conclude that $\alpha(b/a)(a/b)$ is true under v' .

But since b is not free in $(\forall a)\alpha$, $\alpha(b/a)(a/b) = \alpha$.

So α is true under v' , where v' is any a -variant of v .

Hence $(\forall a)\alpha$ is true under v , as required.

$\exists\text{E}$: see Exercise 5.16.

Q.E.D.

Exercise 5.16 *Complete the proof of Theorem 5.4 by covering the cases of $\forall\text{E}$ (like $\exists\text{I}$) and $\exists\text{E}$ (like $\forall\text{I}$).*

Exercise 5.17 *Show by examples that without the restrictions on the free variable ('b') in $\exists\text{E}$, invalid sequents can be proved. Give examples where 'b' is free, first, in β , then in $(\exists a)\alpha$ (cf. Exercise 5.13 (2)) and finally in $\Delta - \{\alpha(b/a)\}$.*